File: 50350023

EVENT VIOLATION INSPECTOR'S STATEMENT MINERALS REGULATORYPROGAM

Company/Mine: Decorative Landscaping/T&M Holdings Mine CO MC2007-03-05 Permit #: S0350023 Violation # 1 of 1

SEF

SERIOUSNE	<u>ess</u>
1.	What type of event is applicable to the regulation cited? Refer to the DOGM reference list of event below and remember that the event is NOT the same as the violation . Mark and explain each event.
	 a. Activity outside the approved permit area. b. Injury to the public (public safety). c. Damage to property. d. Conducting activities without appropriate approvals. e. Environmental harm. f. Water pollution. g. Loss of reclamation/revegetation potential. h. Reduced establishment, diverse and effective vegetative cover. i. No event occurred as a result of the violation. j. Other.
	The operator was conducting mining operations outside the approved permit area ded the four-acre limitation for this site.
2.	Has the event or damage occurred? <u>Yes</u> If yes, describe it. If no, what would cause it to occur and what is the probability of the event(s) occurring? (None, Unlikely, Likely).
	An area of 7.83 acres had been disturbed in this area, but the notice of intention on surety only allowed for four acres of disturbance
, 3.	Did any damage occur as a result of the violation? Yes If yes, describe the duration and extent of the damage or impact. How much damage may have occurred if the violation had not bee discovered by a DOGM inspector? Describe this potential damage and whether or not it would extend off the disturbed and/or permit area.

Explanation: The only damage was the surface disturbance.

B. <u>DEGR</u>	REE OF FAULT (Check the statements which apply to the violation and discuss).
	Was the violation not the fault of the operator (due to vandalism or an act of God), explain. Remember that the permittee is considered responsible for the actions of all persons working on the mine site.
Explanation:	
	Was the violation the result of not knowing about DOGM regulations, indifference to DOGM regulations or the result of lack of reasonable care.
acreage was n	The operator did not take adequate care to ensure the permitted disturbance of exceeded. Additional rock was available just outside the boundaries, and the erators probably did not have instructions to stay within certain limits.
	If the actual or potential environmental harm or harm to the public should have been evident to a careful operator, describe the situation and what, if anything, the operator did to correct it prior to being cited.
Explanation:	
	Was the operator in violation of a specific permit condition?
Explanation:	
	Has DOGM cited the violation in the past? If so, give the dates and the type of warning or enforcement action taken.
permitted area	In 2006, the operator was cited for another incident where they mined outside the a. In 2005, the Division issued the operator a cessation order for mining without otice of intention.
mst ming a m	once of intention.
	as any economic benefit gained by the operator for failure to comply? yes explain. Yes

Explanation: The operator was able to take and potentially sell rock from an unpermitted area.

GOOD FAITH

1. In order to receive good faith for compliance with an NOV or CO, the violation must have been abated before the abatement deadline. If you think this applies, describe how rapid compliance was achieved (give date) and describe the measures the operator took to comply as rapidly as possible.

Explanation: The cessation is not yet fully abated.

2. Explain whether or not the operator had the necessary resources on site to achieve compliance.

Explanation: ____

3. Was the submission of plans prior to physical activity required by this NOV / CO? If yes, explain.

Explanation: _____

Paul B. Baker
Authorized Representative

Signature

August 31, 2007

Date

 $O: \ \ MC2007-03-05 \ state-mc070305. doc$